,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/741,860	MOORE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Li B. Zhen	2194
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Phillip J. Articola</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Li B. Zhen</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 October 2007	Time: <u>2:37 pm.</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 101, 35 USC 112 second paragraph		
Claims discussed: 1-11,14-18,19,23,24,25		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.	·	
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Nh		
(Examine//SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)
(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	- February Control of	G. E. E. P.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner noted that the claims are allowed over the art of record. Claims 1, 19, 24 and 25 are drawn to non-statutory subject matter. In claims 2-11 and 14-18, the phrase "An interface" lacks antecedent basis. The phrase "A method" in claim 23 lacks antecedent basis and the acronym "XML" should be defined. Applicant authorized examiner to amend the claims to recite statutory subject matter, provider proper antecedent basis, and define the term XML.